

Strategic Risk Register - Q4 2019/20

Ref	Strategic Risks	Risk Control / Response and Post Mitigation RAG score	RAG
New	<p>COVID-19 Adverse impact of Covid-19 sickness, restrictions and national response measures on Council finances and services. Severely reduced ability to deliver services, priorities and long-term planning, impacting on e.g. protecting and supporting vulnerable adults and children, education and schools, roads and infrastructure, local economic growth, and the Council's workforce.</p>	<p>New services to protect and support the most medically vulnerable have been introduced, existing services have changed and adapted to the changing situation. We are endeavouring to keep services going as far as possible and to offer other options when it isn't possible. Looking after the most vulnerable people in our community is our absolute priority. We have begun a scheme where staff can volunteer their skills and experience to help maintain our essential services across the council during this critical time. We have received additional funding from the Government and are monitoring our Covid 19 spend. We are also monitoring impacts on the economy and wider community.</p> <p>The Corporate Management Team are meeting regularly to ensure our response is effectively co-ordinated and working well through our established partnerships and the new partnerships which come into operation when we are operating under the Civil Contingencies Act including the Sussex Resilience Forum and the Local Health Resilience Forum.</p> <p>Extensive co-ordination and lobbying are taking place at Members and officer level through SE7, CCN and other arrangements.</p>	R
12	<p>CYBER ATTACK The National Cyber Security Centre (NCSC) has highlighted the substantial risk to British web infrastructure with elevated levels of Cyber Crime being reported against all areas of government. Cyber-attacks often include multi vector attacks featuring internet based, social engineering and targeted exploits against hardware, software and personnel. The remote nature of the internet makes this an international issue and an inevitable risk. Examples of the impact of a Cyber Attack include: • Financial fraud related to phishing of executives and finance staff; • Loss of Personally Identifiable Information and subsequent fines from Information Commissioner's Office (4% of global revenue under the new General Data Protection Regulations); • Total loss of access to systems that could lead to threat to life. A successful cyber-attack can shut down operations - not just for a few hours, but rather for multiple days and weeks. The collateral damage, such as information leaks and reputational damage can continue for much longer. Added to that, backup systems, applications and data may also be infected and therefore, of little usable value during response and recovery operations - they may need to be cleansed before they can be used for recovery. This takes time and consumes skilled resources reducing capacity available to operate the usual services that keep the Council working.</p>	<p>Most attacks leverage software flaws and gaps in boundary defences. Keeping software up to date with regular patching regimes; continually monitoring evolving threats and re-evaluating the ability of our toolset to provide adequate defence'. Ongoing discussion and communication with the Info Sec industry to find the most suitable tools and systems to secure our infrastructure.</p> <p>Expanding Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) system capabilities to align with SCC and leverage latest standards of automation, detection and prevention.</p> <p>Development of "Security Advocates". Trained staff that can cascade and share cyber security insights and highlight potential issues into the workforce. Promoting a visible approachable business based security team;</p> <p>Enhancing user awareness - Expanding E-Learning and policy delivery mechanisms to cover Cyber threat, educating staff around the techniques and methods used by active threats. With 77% of all malware installed via email, users to be given learning experiences of phishing at point of use in a safe and secure environment;</p> <p>Providing GDPR training and workshops to cascade vital skills and information to those affected by new Data Protection laws;</p> <p>ESCC servers moved to the Orbis Primary Data Centre for resilience – An accredited Tier 3 environment certified to these standards: • ISO 27001 - IT Governance and Information Security Management • ISO 9001 - Quality Standard in Customer Service, Customer Processes, Product Process and Service, Efficiency and Continuous Improvement • ISO 14001 - Environmental Management and Best Practices for Corporate Environmental Responsibility.</p> <p>Disaster Recovery services are now similarly relocated in a Tier 3 Data Centre environment (Orbis Secondary Data Centre in Guildford).</p>	R

Ref	Strategic Risks	Risk Control / Response and Post Mitigation RAG score	RAG
4	<p>HEALTH Failure to secure maximum value from partnership working with the NHS. If not achieved, there will be impact on social care, public health and health outcomes and increased social care cost pressures. This would add pressures on the Council's budget and/or risks to other Council objectives.</p>	<p>Between January and March progress has continued to ensure the system is on track with the agreed East Sussex Integrated Care Partnership (ICP) arrangements to be in place from 1st April, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Plans to build on BCF risk sharing arrangements to support decisions to include wider services at a later point in 2020/21 •Progress with integrated commissioning •The target operating model for community health and social care services •Objective setting for the 2020/21 delivery programme <p>As a result of the onset of the COVID-19 emergency in order to enable strengthened levels of system capacity and grip on our COVID-19 (C19) urgent planning and response, on 23rd March the East Sussex Health and Social Care Executive Group formally agreed to suspend all of the non-critical elements of our system business for the duration of the crisis. This included standing down the key elements of system governance meetings and putting in place appropriate alternative temporary governance and meeting arrangements, to enable to enable a stepped-up grip on C19 strategic and operational planning across our East Sussex system. The critical initial focus has been on urgently securing additional capacity and managing patient and financial flow in line with the national requirements to discharge all DTOCs and those medically fit for discharge for hospital in order to plan for the surge in expected COVID related admissions. The temporary arrangements are designed to ensure a coordinated C19 response across our system, to meet the needs of our population, and enable rapid progress to be made, risks and significant impacts for social care to be managed. This will be kept under review as the C19 emergency progresses.</p>	R
5	<p>RECONCILING POLICY, PERFORMANCE & RESOURCE Failure to plan and implement a strategic corporate response to resource reductions, demographic change, and regional economic challenges in order to ensure continued delivery of services to the local community.</p>	<p>We employ a robust Reconciling Policy, Performance and Resources (RPPR) process for business planning. We have adopted a commissioning approach which means evaluating need and considering all methods of service delivery, which includes working with partner organisations to deliver services and manage demand. The Council Plan sets out targets for a 'One Council' approach to deliver our priorities and is monitored quarterly. The plans take account of known risks and pressures, including demographic changes and financial risks, to design mechanisms to deliver the Council's priorities. We will continue to lobby for the need for long term, predictable and sustainable funding for local government and East Sussex, which reflects our residents' real and growing need through the fair funding review later this year. We will also continue to make realistic and deliverable plans for working within the funding we are likely to have available.</p>	R
15	<p>CLIMATE Failure to limit global warming to 1.5°C, requiring global net human-caused emissions of carbon dioxide (CO2) to fall by about 45 percent from 2010 levels by 2030, reaching 'net zero' by 2050 at the latest. This increases the risks to East Sussex of hotter, drier summers; changing rainfall patterns, with more intense rainfall episodes and longer periods without rainfall; milder winters; more frequent extremes in weather that are either prolonged or severe; and sea level rise with potential for increased storm surges.</p>	<p>Climate change adaptation: we are following national adaptation advice, including working with partners on flood risk management plans, a heatwave plan and drought plans.</p> <p>Climate change mitigation: we are continuing to reduce the County Council's own carbon footprint, which was cut by 56% between 2008-9 and 2018-19.</p> <p>The County Council declared a Climate Emergency in October 2019 and committed to achieve net zero carbon emissions from the County Council's estate by 2050 or earlier. A route map to net zero is being developed and progress will be reported to County Council in May 2020.</p>	R

Ref	Strategic Risks	Risk Control / Response and Post Mitigation RAG score	RAG
1	<p>ROADS Wet winter weather, over recent years has caused significant damage to many of the county's roads, adding to the backlog of maintenance in the County Council's Asset Plan, and increasing the risk to the Council's ability to stem the rate of deterioration and maintain road condition.</p> <p>Covid-19 could lead to an increase in the level of staff sickness, as well as the need for staff to self-isolate/distance. It will lead to a change in our working approach and arrangements, even beyond the length of any Government imposed lockdown.</p>	<p>The additional capital maintenance funding approved by Cabinet in recent years has enabled us to stabilise the rate of deterioration in the carriageway network and improve the condition of our principle road network. However, a large backlog of maintenance still exists and is addressed on a priority basis.</p> <p>The County Council's asset management approach to highway maintenance is maintaining the overall condition of roads, despite recent year's winter weather. However, severe winter weather continues to be a significant risk with the potential to have significant impact on the highway network. The recently approved five year capital programme for carriageways 2018/19 to 2022/23, and the six year additional capital programme for drainage and footways 2017/18 to 2022/23 provide the ability to continue to improve condition and build resilience into the network for future winter events.</p> <p>Additional DfT money from 2018/2019 has supported this approach.</p> <p>Remote working has been adopted where possible in response to Covid-19. We are still able to deliver works on the ground adhering to current working restrictions and the carriageway programme is continuing as normal. If working restrictions change, this might impact our ability to deliver. If staff illness increases for either our contractor, sub-contractors or suppliers, this might impact our ability to deliver.</p>	A
7	<p>SCHOOLS Failure to manage the expected significant reduction in resources for school improvement from 2017/18 and the potential impacts of changing government policy on education, leading to reduced outcomes for children, poor Ofsted reports and reputational damage</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In September 2019 the Department published its refreshed Excellence for All strategy. The updated strategy outlines the shared vision, values and ambitions the local authority and our partners have for creating an excellent education system in East Sussex where no child or educational establishment is left behind. There is a sharper focus on the most disadvantaged and on how we will deliver improvement through the partnership structures in the county. • Work closely with schools to build a sustainable system across East Sussex, in order to ensure that the capacity and expertise is available to provide oversight of educational performance and to offer appropriate support and challenge where it is required. • Provide an opportunity for every school to be part of a local Education Improvement Partnership to support their ongoing improvement and for all partnerships to develop to the point where they provide a sustainable network through which all schools and other providers take responsibility for improvement in their local area. • Continue to develop commissioning model of school improvement including reviewing the level of trading by SLES to ascertain what is sustainable within reducing capacity and to identify core services that can be traded. • Continue to build relationships with academies and sponsors, including the Diocese of Chichester, ensure a dialogue about school performance, including data sharing. • Work with academies and maintained schools through the Education Improvement Partnerships to develop system leadership, school to school support and to broker partnerships to reduce pressure on SLES services. • Broker support to academies to address any performance concerns and investigate the feasibility of trading some LA school improvement services with all schools on a full cost recovery basis. • Where academies do not appear to be accessing appropriate support, bring this to the attention of the DfE, who may exercise their intervention powers. • Work with the Regional Schools Commissioner to ensure the work of the RSC and the LA is aligned and that schools have the support they need. 	A

Ref	Strategic Risks	Risk Control / Response and Post Mitigation RAG score	RAG
8	<p>CAPITAL PROGRAMME As a result of current austerity, the capital programme has been produced to support basic need only and as a result of this there is no resource for other investment that may benefit the County e.g. that may generate economic growth. Additionally, there is a risk, due to the complexity of formulas and factors that impact upon them, or changes in these, that the estimated Government Grants, which fund part of the programme, are significantly reduced. There is also a risk that the move from S106 contributions to Community Infrastructure Levy will mean that Council has reduced funding from this source as bids have to be made to Districts and Boroughs. Slippage continues to occur within the programme, which has an impact on the effective use of limited resources.</p>	<p>Governance arrangements continue to be reviewed and developed with Property for the delivery of Schools Basic Need and capital property works in support of the robust programme delivery of the basic need programme. The Education Sub Board, which in part focuses on future need for schools places, continues to inform the Capital Strategic Asset Board of key risks and issues within the School Basic Need Programme. Regular scrutiny by the Capital Strategic Asset Board (CSAB), of programme and project profiles (both in year and across the life of the programme) occurs on a quarterly basis.</p> <p>The Board also proactively supports the seeking and management of all sources of capital funding, including; grants, S106, CIL and, Local Growth Fund monies. A cross department sub board has been set that oversees the process for bidding for CIL and to the use of S106 funds, work continues with Districts and Boroughs to maximise the Council's receipt of these limited resources. The impact Covid-19 has had on working arrangements has meant that some S106 and CIL monies anticipated to be collected and used in year were unable to be collected. This is only a delay in receipt and the funds are still available in support of the programme as a whole.</p> <p>A risk factor was introduced in 2019/20 to acknowledge and address the historic level of slippage in the capital programme, its impact on the financing of the capital programme, and therefore on treasury management activity. Following the creation of the 20 year capital strategy (2020/21 to 2040/41) and the enhanced rigour in the building of the 10 year capital programme (2019/20 to 2029/30), this factor has not been extended to future years. CSAB will continue to monitor slippage and recommend any change should it become necessary. Covid-19 is already impacting on the delivery of projects and programmes of work and as a result slippage will increase in 2020/21.</p>	A
9	<p>WORKFORCE Stress and mental health are currently the top two reasons for sickness absence across the Council, potentially leading to reduced staff wellbeing, reduced service resilience, inability to deliver efficient service and / or reputational issues.</p>	<p>The 2019/20 sickness absence year end outturn for the whole authority (excluding schools) is 8.48 days lost per FTE, a decrease of 2.9% since last year.</p> <p>Stress/mental health remains the primary reason for absence, increasing by 8.2% compared to 2018/19. Interestingly, during that period whilst days lost due to Mental Health issues has increased by 39.3%, those due to stress have fallen by 14.2. Sickness absence overall has seen a reduction which would indicate employees are now feeling able to disclose Mental Health as a reason for absence.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Almost 100 Mental Health First Aiders have been trained across the organisation and 52 interventions have taken place since November 2019 •ESCC Campaign launched for World Mental Health Awareness Day on 10 October 2019 •Employee and Managers Mental Health Guides have been produced alongside a supporting toolkit and dedicated resource intranet page •A dedicated yammer wellbeing campaign to support staff during COVID-19 was launched March 2020 and is now in its 5th week •A new Stress Risk Assessment to encourage meaningful conversations between managers and employees and provide signposting will be launched next month 	A
10	<p>RECRUITMENT Inability to attract high calibre candidates, leading to limited recruitment choices and therefore lack of the expertise, capacity, leadership and/or innovation required to deliver services and service transformation.</p>	<p>Following CMTs consideration of our current workforce demographics and recruitment and retention 'hotspots', two workstreams of 'attracting and recruiting the future workforce' and 'leading the workforce' are now being progressed. Specific proposals for action were due to be reported to CMT in March 2020 but as a result of the Coronavirus response, this will now be delayed until summer/autumn 2020.</p>	A

Ref	Strategic Risks	Risk Control / Response and Post Mitigation RAG score	RAG
6	<p>LOCAL ECONOMIC GROWTH Failure to deliver local economic growth, and failure to maximise opportunities afforded by Government proposal to allocate Local Growth Funding to South East Local Enterprise Partnership, creating adverse reputational and financial impacts.</p>	<p>The County Council and its partners have been successful in securing significant amounts of growth funding totalling £115m, via both the South East and Coast 2 Capital Local Enterprise Partnerships, to deliver a wide range of infrastructure projects in East Sussex. We have also secured outgoing EU funding for complementary economic development programmes supporting businesses to grow, including South East Business Boost (SEBB), LoCASE, SECCADS and inward investment services for the county. We have continued to bid for further EU funding on the above projects and have secured over £4m of investments to be delivered from April 2020 for a further 3 years.</p> <p>The County Council has worked with Wealden DC and developed an outline business case that has been submitted to the Major Road Network programme in May 2019, to secure funding for approximately £34.3m towards local transport interventions along the A22/A27 Growth Corridor. We are awaiting outcome.</p> <p>Government is working on a new Shared Prosperity Fund, which seeks to combine growth funding and outgoing EU funding into one, and as a consequence we are working with partners to develop a pipeline of projects to ensure we are well-placed to capitalise when the fund is released and calls for projects are issued. Furthermore, there are several new funds that are being bid to including Future High Streets, Stronger Towns Fund and European Social Fund and we have been actively working with partners in submitting proposals and await the outcomes.</p> <p>Government has instigated a review of LEPs across the country. A new legal company limited by guarantee and SELEP board has been appointed and we have been working on the development of a SELEP Local Industrial Strategy which is now to be submitted in Summer 2020.</p> <p>East Sussex have been successful in securing an additional £2.46m funding from the SELEP for two projects that will create new jobs and workspaces in Bexhill and provide a replacement for Exceat Bridge to improve connectivity and support housing growth. East Sussex have submitted projects for consideration in the Growing Places Fund (GPF Round 3) programme and we were expecting to know outcome in April 2020.</p> <p>However, due to the CV19 outbreak in early March 2020 this is having an impact on major funding decisions from Government, SELEP and ESCC as we focus priority on enabling businesses, employees and those unemployed recover from this economic and societal shock. This will affect our future RAG rating on growth and delays in delivery of schemes.</p>	G